UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,230	06/23/2006	Mattias Ernst	053512	6432	
	7590 03/26/201 I, HATTORI, DANIEL	EXAMINER			
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			COPPOLA, JACOB C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/26/2010	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,230	ERNST ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	JACOB C. COPPOLA	3621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 March 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the state forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b			cause
(a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov		ΓE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			•
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ∑ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11 and 36-38</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>12-32</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	before or on the date of filing a Ne	ation of Annual will not	he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10.	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The Final rejections remain.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (I 3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ANDREW J. FISCHER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621	/JACOB C. COPPOLA/ Examiner, Art Unit 3621		